## Preparation of magnetic nanoparticles and their assemblies using a new Fe(II) alkoxide precursor

**JOURNAL Communication<br>Materials C<br>Communication** 

Grainne B. Biddlecombe,<sup>a</sup> Yurii K. Gun'ko,\*<sup>a</sup> John M. Kelly,<sup>a</sup> Suresh C. Pillai,<sup>a</sup> J. Michael D. Coey,<sup>b</sup> Munuswamy Venkatesan<sup>b</sup> and Alexis P. Douvalis<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>The Department of Chemistry, Trinity College, University of Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland. E-mail: igounko@tcd.ie <sup>b</sup>The Department of Physics, Trinity College, University of Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland

Received 29th August 2001, Accepted 3rd October 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 15th October 2001

Hydrolysis of the new metallorganic precursor  $Fe(OBu<sup>t</sup>)<sub>2</sub>$ - $(THF)$ <sub>2</sub> followed by ultrasound and thermal treatment yielded either nanoparticles of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> or Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, depending on the process conditions. The samples have been characterised by X-ray powder diffraction, TEM, SEM, Mössbauer spectroscopy and magnetization measurements. The maghemite nanoparticles  $(9 \pm 2 \text{ nm})$  are superparamagnetic and form unique needle-like assemblies of nanoparticle arrays. The nanoparticles of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (19<sup>+</sup>  $2$  nm) form plate-like aggregates about  $10 \mu m$  thick.

Magnetic nanoparticles, which approach the size of a single magnetic domain, have applications in magnetic storage.<sup>1,2</sup> In order to get higher storage densities future development in the area of magnetic storage media will rely on the ability to develop stable materials with particles a few nanometers in size.<sup>3</sup> Superparamagnetic single domain nanoparticles also have very important biomedical applications (e.g. magnetic cell sorting, magnetocytolysis, drug-targeting experiments, gene transfection studies, magnetic fluid hyperthermia,  $etc.$ ).

The development of reliable techniques for preparing arrays and assemblies of magnetic nanoparticles is of high scientific and technological importance. Despite the wide range of physical and chemical routes for the preparation of nanoparticles which have been developed over many years, $<sup>7</sup>$  there is a</sup> great demand for precursors and for an effective technique to produce nanoparticles of magnetite  $(Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)$  and maghemite  $(\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>). Several new chemical routes for preparation of different magnetic nanoparticles and their arrays have been developed.<sup>8</sup> Using single-source precursors is one of the most attractive methods for the preparation of magnetic nanoparticles. A particularly interesting non-hydrolytic singleprecursor approach for the preparation of metal oxides, including  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles, from the corresponding metal cupferronates, was proposed by Alivisatos and coworkers.<sup>9</sup> Recently Gedanken and coworkers have also shown that  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles can be effectively prepared using a sonochemical method with commercially available metallorganic precursors such as  $Fe(CO)_5$  and  $Fe(II)$  acetate.<sup>10</sup>

In this communication we report the preparation of

nanoparticles of magnetite and maghemite  $(\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) and their assemblies using a new metallorganic iron $(II)$  alkoxide precursor  $\text{Fe}(\text{OBu}^t)_2(\text{THF})_2$  by modified sol–gel and ultrasonic techniques.

The precursor,  $Fe(OBu<sup>t</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(THF)<sub>2</sub>$  was prepared from  $FeBr<sub>2</sub>$ and NaOBu<sup>t</sup> in THF using standard argon–vacuum and Schlenk techniques.† A solution of  $Fe(OBu<sup>t</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(THF)<sub>2</sub>$  (1.1 g, 2 mmol) in THF  $(60 \text{ cm}^3)$  was carefully hydrolysed with doubly distilled non-degassed water (30 cm<sup>3</sup>) under argon (Scheme 1). The resultant mixture was then ultrasonically (30 kHz, 130 W) irradiated under argon at ambient temperature for 1 h. The dark precipitate was filtered off and washed several times with distilled water. The product was then divided into two equal parts. One part of the product was dried in vacuo for 3 h at room-temperature giving a black powder (A), while the other part was dried in air at  $200\degree$ C for 30 min giving a dark brown powder (B). Both products were characterised by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD). Reflections of the XRD patterns (2 $\theta$  from 5 to  $70^{\circ}$ ) for both samples showed d spacing values and relative intensities of the peaks coincident exactly with JCPDS data of magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) for A and maghemite ( $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) for **B** with some line-broadening. The particle sizes were calculated using the Debye–Scherrer formula<sup>11</sup> (crystalline diameter=0.9 $\lambda/B$ cos $\theta$ , where  $\lambda = X$ -ray wavelength,  $\theta = B$ ragg angle,  $B =$ line broadening) giving a particle diameter of  $19 \pm 2$  nm for Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and  $9 \pm 2$  nm for  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples.

Fig. 1 presents the Mössbauer spectra of the two samples taken at room temperature. For the black powder (A) the spectrum shows combined magnetic and quadrupole splittings. A least square fit to the experimental data indicates that the lines are characteristic of non-stoichiometric magnetite, with a small line broadening due to a distribution of hyperfine magnetic fields caused by the different iron ion environments in the structure, and a small contribution of paramagnetic  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$ . For the brown powder (B) the room-temperature spectrum shows only quadrupole splitting. A fitting to these data suggests that the quadrupole doublet can be assigned to  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$ ions in superparamagnetic  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles as indicated by the absence of any magnetic splitting.



Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of the preparation of magnetic nanoparticles. Conditions: i, ultrasound, 1 h; ii, vacuum, 3 h, ca. 20 °C; iii, heat, ca.  $200$  °C, in air, 30 min.

DOI: 10.1039/b107760k J. Mater. Chem., 2001, 11, 2937–2939 2937



Fig. 1 Room-temperature Mössbauer spectra of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  (A) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (B) nanoparticles.

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images of the powders demonstrated that the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  sample (Fig. 2) consists of broken plates, which are about  $100 \mu m$  in



Fig. 2 FESEM microphotographs at  $1000 \times$  (a) and  $100\,000 \times$  (b, broken edge; c, plane surface) magnification of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  sample (A).



5.0kV x100k  $25 - Ju1 - 00$ 010236 WD 5.4

Fig. 3 FESEM microphotographs at  $2000 \times$  (top) and  $100\,000 \times$ (bottom) magnification of the  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> needles (**B**).

diameter and about 10 µm thick. Magnification of the broken edge and plate surface up to  $150000 \times$  shows that each plate is an assembly of nano-sized particles. The estimated size of individual aggregates from FESEM is between 10–100 nm. By contrast the FESEM images of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 2000  $\times$  and  $100000 \times$  magnification (Fig. 3) show a straw- or needle-like sample morphology with needle lengths of  $ca$ . 10  $\mu$ m. The image at  $100\,000 \times$  indicates that each straw or needle consists of three-dimensional self-assemblies of parallel arrays of nanoparticles. The average size of the each nanoparticle is ca. 10 nm.

Samples for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were prepared by deposition of a drop of very dilute colloidal solutions of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> or  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in ethanol onto formvar coated 400 mesh copper grids. TEM images of the magnetite sample show a dispersion of nanoparticle aggregates with a size distribution between 10 and 60 nm. According to TEM images the nanoparticles of the maghemite sample have a quite narrow size distribution and an average diameter of 7–10 nm.

Fig. 4 shows the room-temperature magnetization of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  sample. The room-temperature spontaneous magnetization ( $\sigma$ ) is 65 J T<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> in a field of 1 T which is considerably less than the usual spontaneous saturation magnetisation (ca. 90 J  $T^{-1}$  kg<sup>-1</sup>) of bulk Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.<sup>12</sup> The value of the coercivity is around 10 mT. A decreasing size of particles leads to a decrease in total magnetization due to the increased dispersion in the exchange integral.<sup>13</sup> On the other hand, the magnetization curve of the maghemite sample is typical of superparamagnetic behaviour showing a steep increase in magnetisation with increasing field. The magnetisation does not saturate even at 1 T magnetic field. Using the expression for the mass susceptibility of a paramagnet  $(\chi = Nm^2/3k_B)$ , where N is the



Fig. 4 Room-temperature magnetization curves of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples.

number of particles per kg and  $m$  is the particle moment  $(J T^{-1})$ , from the initial slope a particle diameter of 7  $\pm$  1 nm is deduced. This size is quite consistent with XRD, FESEM and TEM results. The lack of saturation of magnetisation and the reduction in the room-temperature magnetisation may be attributed to the smaller particle size.

The mechanism of formation of magnetic nanoparticles obviously involves an oxidation of  $Fe(II)$  into  $Fe(III)$  by oxygen from the water during the hydrolysis and further ultrasonic treatment. Ultrasonically generated radical and peroxide species from water or THF also might contribute to the oxidation of  $Fe(II)$ , as has been recently reported by Gedanken and coworkers.<sup>9,10</sup> We suggest that the formation of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles proceeds via precursor materials containing –Fe– (OH)–Fe– and –Fe–O–Fe– polymeric chains and that these then can be ultrasonically and thermally broken giving individual nano-sized aggregates. Plausibly even after the treatment, aggregates still continue to retain a chain-like orientation forming needle-like assemblies of nanoparticles as observed by FESEM.

In summary, the results illustrate that nanoparticles of magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) and maghemite ( $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) can be conveniently produced from an Fe(II) alkoxide derivative. The maghemite nanoparticles so prepared are superparamagnetic. Results of FESEM have shown that nanoparticles of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ form plate-like aggregates of  $100 \mu m$  diameter and about  $10 \mu m$ thickness;  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> consists of needle-like assemblies of nanoparticle arrays. This work presents new opportunities for the

preparation of three-dimensional assemblies of magnetic nanoparticles for practical applications.

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge staff members of the Electron Microscopy Unit of Trinity College Dublin for their kind support during this work.

## Notes and references

 ${\dagger}$ Synthesis of Fe(OBu<sup>t</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(THF)<sub>2</sub>: NaOBu<sup>t</sup> (0.68 g, 7.08 mmol) was added to FeBr<sub>2</sub> (0.76 g, 3.54 mmol) in THF (100 ml) at ca. 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 24 h at ambient temperature, filtered and the filtrate then concentrated to ca. 30 ml in vacuo. Grey crystals of Fe(OBu<sup>t</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(THF)<sub>2</sub> (0.64 g, 53%) were gradually deposited at 4 °C. Further concentration of the remainder of the mother-liquor (ca. 15 ml) in vacuo and cooling to  $-22^{\circ}$ C afforded an additional crop of Fe(OBu<sup>t</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(THF)<sub>2</sub> (0.31 g, 78% in total).

- 1 R. F. Service, Science, 2000, 287, 1902.
- 2 F. E. Kruis, H. Fissan and A. Peled, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 1998, **29**, 511.<br>3 M E. McHenry and D. F. Laughlin, *Acta Mater*, 2000, **48**, 223.
- M. E. McHenry and D. E. Laughlin, Acta Mater., 2000, 48, 223.
- 4 J. Roger, J. N. Pons, R. Massart, A. Halbreich and J. C. Bacri, Eur. Phys. J. Appl. Phys., 1999, 5, 321.
- 5 C. Bergemann, D. Muller-Schulte, J. Oster, L. Brassard and A. S. Lubbe, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 1999, 194, 45.
- 6 A. Jordan, R. Scholz, P. Wust, H. Fahling and R. Felix, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 1999, 201, 413.
- 7 E. Matijevic, *Chem. Mater.*, 1993, **5**, 412.<br>8 (a) G. A. Held. G. Grinstein, H. D.
- 8 (a) G. A. Held, G. Grinstein, H. Doyle, S. H. Sun and C. B. Murray, Phys. Rev. B, 2001, 6401, 2408; (b) S. H. Sun, E. E. Fullerton, D. Weller and C. B. Murray, IEEE Trans. Magn., 2001, 37, 1239; (c) S. Mann and J. P. Hannington, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 1988, 326; (d) M. Breulmann, H. Colfen, H.-P. Hentze, M. Antonietti, D. Walsh and S. Mann, Adv. Mater., 1998, 10, 237.
- 9 J. Rockenberger, E. C. Scher and A. P. Alivisatos, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 11595.
- (a) X. Cao, Yu. Koltypin, G. Katabi, R. Prozorov, I. Felner and A. Gedanken, J. Mater. Chem., 1997, 7, 1007; (b) R. Vijayakumar, Yu. Koltypin, I. Felner and A. Gedanken, Mater. Sci. Eng. A, 2000, 286, 101; (c) R. V. Kumar, Yu. Yu. Koltypin, Y. S. Cohen, Y. Cohen, D. Aurbach, O. Palchik, I. Felner and A. Gedanken, J. Mater. Chem., 2000, 10, 1125.
- 11 A. R. West, Solid State Chemistry and Its Applications, John Wiley & Sons, London, 1984, p. 174.
- 12 J. M. D. Coey, A. H. Morrish and G. A. Sawatzky, J. Physique, 1971, 32, C1-271.
- 13 S. R. Elliott, *Physics of Amorphous Materials*, Longman, London, New York, 1984, p. 350.